many members of the Planck/HFI core team, especially:
High Frequency Instrument (HFI) on Planck used SiN micromesh bolometers (spiderweb and polarization sensitive) with NTD Germanium thermistors

100mK maintained from July 3, 2009 to January 14, 2012 (5 full sky surveys)

Detector NEP ~ 1-2x10^{-17} (above 0.6 Hz); NET as low as 40 \mu K_{CMB} rt s in a single device

Cosmic ray hit rate higher than expected (1-2 per second per bolometer)
- Flagged transients (removes 10-20% of data)
- Long tails of glitches create excess noise from 0.01 – 0.2 Hz
- Occasional (~1/day) shower events create simultaneous response in many detectors
- Thermal drift of 100mK plate with variable particle flux
- Effects of undetected glitches?

Main lesson: direct hits on the bolometer absorber or thermistor are not the only response to cosmic rays!
HFI Quick Overview

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Center Frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>143</th>
<th>217</th>
<th>353</th>
<th>545</th>
<th>857</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N Detectors</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution (arcmin)</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise in maps $\mu K_{\text{CMB deg}}$</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Array NET ($\mu K s$)</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>4.9 (RJ)</td>
<td>2.1 (RJ)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Image of HFI components](image.png)
open cryogenic architecture, no surrounding cryostat shell
Polarization-sensitive and spider-web bolometers from JPL

Holmes et al (2008)

Main heat sink for Si frame and bolometer is via wire bonds
3 minutes of raw in-flight data

HFI Core Team: HFI Data Processing
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Glitches in the data

- Bolometer glitch rate correlates with on-board particle detectors (SREM) & with SREM data on Herschel and Rosetta

- Planck launched during extreme solar minimum: more low energy galactic particles than expected BUT not enough to explain observed rate

- Solar flares aren’t a problem: spacecraft blocks <100MeV solar particles
- Galactics come from all directions; metal surrounding detectors blocks <20 MeV
Glitch rate in each detector: 1-2 per second!
Expected rates: ground vs. flight

Glitch Rate Increased in Flight \sim Galactic Protons

Glitch Rate to High on Ground But Consistent with Si Die Hits
Direct effects of Cosmic rays: transients

- Easy to detect due to scan redundancy
- Three general families: Short, Long, Slow
- Long and Slow glitches have tails with ~2 second time constants
- Rate is dominated by long glitches
- Slow glitches only seen in “a” arm of PSB
Short glitch energy spectrum

- Caveat: “energy” not well-calibrated yet for particles
- Bump at high energy: ~same in all detectors
- 1 GeV proton should deposit 1-3KeV in grid and 40 KeV in NTD
Long and Slow glitch energy spectrum

- Slow glitches only in “a” PSBs: maybe impacts in feed-through?
- Long glitches: likely to be hits in the Si die (other theory is secondaries)
- Energy spectrum and rate consistent with simple model of Si absorber
Glitch coincidence

- PSBs are mounted 100 microns apart, see coincidence:
  - Nearly 100% of long glitches: energy deposit is nearly the same
  - In 50% of low energy short glitches

- Secondary showers are seen in the data, but not a significant fraction of total events (more later..)

- Coincidence and rate are well-explained by silicon die model for long glitches
Ongoing flight spare tests

- Ground test campaign underway to study these events further:
  - Understand the glitches in the data better:
    - Model un-detected low energy tail of glitches
    - Long / short misidentification at low S/N
    - Undetected shower events
    - Understand a/b asymmetry
  - Implication for future missions
- Thermal tests: heaters and thermometers mounted on flight spares
  - Is long glitch tail consistent with Si die?
- Particle tests:
  - TANDEM linear accelerator: 23MeV protons: give similar results to in-flight (long glitches dominating rate)
  - Delta-electron tests with alpha sources: no secondary e- seen.
Glitch Handling in data

After detection,
- Flag all shorts, and fast part of long
- Fit amplitude and subtract long tails
- >90% of data touched by this procedure

Noise spectra with and without subtraction:
Timelines after cleaning
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Noise spectrum after cleaning

Spin frequency

Beam 3dB cutoff
Thermal effects of cosmic rays

- ~10 nW of 115 nW heat lift on 100mK stage due to cosmic rays
- Common mode drift – removed by decorrelation with dark bolometers
- Lots of uncorrelated drift still remaining
- Note: mapmaking is ~ high pass filter at 1/45 minutes
Solar flares

Only ~ 3 solar flares showed any effects on HFI: glitch rate, noise goes up for ~1-2 hours, dark bolometer heats by almost 1 mK

Red is During Flare

0.8mK
Multiple bolometer coincident hits

- >1 TeV events can create showers inside 100mK box
- Events show heating in all bolometers
- Rate of 1/day > 1 microK heating
HFI detector Noise Performance

Noise > 0.6 Hz
Other notable Planck successes

- Open cryostat design was successful: all detectors work without additional shielding of closed cryostat
- Telescope emissivity was below 1% (see below)

![Graph showing residual bolometer loading vs. band frequency with pre-launch best case values: e ~ 0.6%, T_{primary} = T_{secondary} = 40K, and e ~ 0.07%, T_{primary} 36.2K, T_{secondary} 39.4K.](image-url)
Conclusions

- Direct hits from solar particles are hardly a concern for detectors surrounded by metal. A few (of order 3) solar flares created ~ hour long periods of increased noise and ~1 microK temperature rise
- Main worry is >30 MeV galactic particles.
- Operation of sub-K instrument during solar maximum is more benign than at solar minimum
- Future space missions with detector NEP<10^{-17} operating at T<100mK are technically possible, BUT
  - Take into account the particle environment (now known much better) and effects on the entire system
  - Do beamline tests pre-launch

- A series of papers from Planck/HFI team is in production describing in-flight cosmic ray response and ground tests. Will be part of 2013 Cosmology data release.
References for more information:

Bonus Slides!
Stability of 4K and 1.4K stages

Fig. 27. *Left* – power spectrum of thermal fluctuations measured at the feedhorns that couple to the telescope. *Right* – power spectrum of thermal fluctuations measured at the 1.4 K filter plate.
Stability of 0.1K stage

Fig. 28. Left – frequency spectrum of the temperature of the bolometer plate, measured in flight (red) and on the ground (blue). Right – spectrum of the flight measurements over a wider frequency range. The shoulder on the low frequency side is due to the temperature fluctuations described in Fig. 30. The bump in the 10^{-2} to 10^{-3} Hz range seen, also seen in the left panel but only in the flight curve, is probably associated with the effect of cosmic rays in the bolometer structures.
SREMM vs plate and dilution PID power

![Graph showing the comparison between SREMM, plate, and dilution PID power over time. The graph plots time in days on the x-axis and arbitrary units on the y-axis. Four lines represent different conditions: PID Plate (red), PID Dilu (blue), and SREMM (green). The graph illustrates the performance and stability of each condition over time.]
Table 3. *Planck* performance parameters determined from flight data.

| channel   | $N_{\text{detectors}}$ | $\nu_{\text{center}}^{b}$ [GHz] | FWHM | ellipticity | $[\mu K_{\text{RJ}} \text{s}^{1/2}]$ | $[\mu K_{\text{CMB}} \text{s}^{1/2}]$ | calibration uncertainty [%] | faintest source in ERCSC $|b| > 30^\circ$ [mJy] |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------|-------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 30 GHz     | 4                       | 28.5                          | 32.65| 1.38        | 143.4                            | 146.8                            | 1                             | 480                         |
| 44 GHz     | 6                       | 44.1                          | 27.92| 1.26        | 164.7                            | 173.1                            | 1                             | 585                         |
| 70 GHz     | 12                      | 70.3                          | 13.01| 1.27        | 134.7                            | 152.6                            | 1                             | 481                         |
| 100 GHz    | 8                       | 100                           | 9.37 | 1.18        | 17.3                             | 22.6                             | 2                             | 344                         |
| 143 GHz    | 11                      | 143                           | 7.04 | 1.03        | 8.6                              | 14.5                             | 2                             | 206                         |
| 217 GHz    | 12                      | 217                           | 4.68 | 1.14        | 6.8                              | 20.6                             | 2                             | 183                         |
| 353 GHz    | 12                      | 353                           | 4.43 | 1.09        | 5.5                              | 77.3                             | 2                             | 198                         |
| 545 GHz    | 3                       | 545                           | 3.80 | 1.25        | 4.9                              | ...                              | 7                             | 381                         |
| 857 GHz    | 3                       | 857                           | 3.67 | 1.03        | 2.1                              | ...                              | 7                             | 655                         |