Grating development and the Rockets for Extended-source X-ray Spectroscopy

Drew Miles

California Institute of Technology

20 November 2024 PhysCos Early Career Workshop

A brief introduction

Dec. 2021 - PhD in Astrophysics from Penn State University

♦ Dissertation: "A reflection grating spectrograph for extended-source soft-x-ray astronomy"

2022 – **2024** - Postdoctoral position in Space Astrophysics Laboratory at the California Institute of Technology (Caltech)

Apr. 2024 – present - Research Assistant Professor of Physics at Caltech

Major technology projects

- ♦ UV & X-ray diffraction grating development (fabrication, characterization, tech advancement)
 - ♦ SAT23 award for gratings for the Habitable Worlds Observatory
- UV suborbital balloon project (FIREBall)

Why develop grating technologies?

Current Technology Gap Priorities

TECHNOLOGY GAPS: OVERVIEW / TECH GAP PRIORITIES / PRIORITIZATION PROCESS / TECH GAP DESCRIPTIONS

Following a multi-month prioritization process involving managers, technologists, scientists, and subject-matter experts from NASA's Astrophysics Division (APD) and the Program Offices, as well as independent reviewers, the following is the Astrophysics Technology Gap Priority List. This list will inform APD technology development planning as well as decisions on what technologies to solicit and will be considered when making funding decisions. Tiers are in descending priority order. All gaps within any given tier are to be considered equally prioritized (which is why the gaps are arranged alphabetically within each tier). Tier 4 (Non-Strategic) is reserved for gaps deemed not to enable or enhance any strategic Astrophysics mission, and as such will not automatically be included in the next prioritization cycle.

Tier 1 Technology Gaps

Priority Tier 1: Technology gaps determined to be of the highest interest to APD. Advancing technologies to close these key gaps is judged to be most critical to making substantive near-term progress on the highest-priority strategic astrophysics missions. The program office technologists recommend solicitations and award decisions address as many of these technology gaps as possible.

- Coronagraph Contrast and Efficiency in the Near IR
- Coronagraph Contrast and Efficiency in the Near UV
- Coronagraph Stability
- Cryogenic Readouts for Large-Format Far-IR Detectors
- Fast, Low-Noise, Megapixel X-ray Imaging Arrays with Moderate Spectral Resolution
- High-Efficiency, Low-Scatter, High- and Low-Ruling-Density, High-and Low-Blazed-Angle UV Gratings
- High-Efficiency X-ray Grating Arrays for High-Resolution Spectroscopy
- High-Reflectivity Broadband Far-UV-to-Near-IR Mirror Coatings
- High-Resolution, Lightweight X-ray Optics
- High-Throughput, Large-Format Object-Selection Technologies for Multi-Object and Integral-Field Spectroscopy
- Integrated Modeling for HWO: Multi-Physics Systems Modeling, Uncertain ty Quantification, and Model Validation
- Large-Format, High-Resolution Far-UV (100-200 nm) Detectors
- Large-Format, High-Resolution Near-UV (200-400 nm) Detectors
- Low-Stress, Low-Roughness, High-Stability X-ray Reflective Coatings
- Mirror Technologies for High Angular Resolution (UV/Visible/Near IR)
- Optical Blocking Filters for X-ray Instruments
- Scaling and Metrology for Advanced Broadband Mirror Coatings for HWO

Source: NASA Astrophysics Projects Division

From gap description:

replicated onto thin substrates. Alignment into large arrays needs to be demonstrated.

The Rockets for Extended-source X-ray Spectroscopy (tREXS)

- Specifications:
 - $\Rightarrow \approx 10$ sq. deg. FOV

 - ♦ Primary sensitivity from $\approx 0.3 - 0.8 \text{ keV} (\approx 15 - 40 \text{ Å})$
 - ♦ Optimized for O-VII, O-VIII, N-VI, and C-VI emission



The Rockets for Extended-source X-ray Spectroscopy (tREXS)

♦ Specifications:

- $\Rightarrow \approx 10$ sq. deg. FOV
- ♦ Primary sensitivity from $\approx 0.3 - 0.8 \text{ keV} (\approx 15 - 40 \text{ Å})$
- ♦ Optimized for O-VII, O-VIII, N-VI, and C-VI emission
- ♦ Instrument components:
 - Mechanical beam-shaper modules
 - ♦ X-ray reflection gratings
 - ♦ Focal plane camera



Spectrograph channels (x4 in instrument; shared focal plane)



Reflection gratings





Reflection gratings



Stacks of 38 gratings per module

190 total replica gratings produced!





tREXS Status

- ♦ Initial tREXS-I project ended in 2023
 - Design, fabrication, testing, assembly, calibration, and first flight
 - ♦ Thermal-vacuum system failure on launch rail negated X-ray data collection ☺
 - Two-channel spectrograph (planned for four spectrograph channels) for first flight; hardware for extra channels on hand
- To be proposed in NASA APRA24 solicitation for addition of two more channels, performance improvements, and two more launches!
- Developing ESPA-class SmallSat for highest-resolution maps of X-ray background
 - ♦ MBS + grating concept adapted for different form factor same technology!



First space project

tREXS-I team

Faculty/Staff Randall McEntaffer (PI) *Drew Miles (PS/PM) *James Tutt (Research professor) Tyler Anderson / Michael Betts (EE) *Daniel Washington (R&D Eng) Bridget O'Meara (ME) Fabien Grise (Research professor) Jake McCoy (Postdoc)

<u>Grad/Post-bacc</u> *Ross McCurdy (Lead grad student) Ben Donovan (Grad student) Taylor Wood (Grad student) *Christopher Hillman (post-bacc) *Keir Hunter (post-bacc) *Jessica Mondoskin (post-bacc)

Undergraduate Researchers <u>*Logan Baker (Aero Eng)</u> *Gianna Gagliardi (Mech Eng) **Bailey Myers (Astro)** Eli Papadopoulos (Mat Sci) *Nestor Pelaez (Aero Eng) *Vincent Smedile (Astro) Adam Stone (Astro) *Joseph Weston (Aero Eng) *Natalie Zinski (Astro)

* = primary project

Now in grad school

<u>Now in</u> industry/NASA

> <u>Collaborators</u> - Penn State Nanofabrication Facility staff - Philips SCIL Solutions

Suborbital projects enable large NASA missions!

Table 3 List of NASA astrophysics Explorer missions since 2001, including whether the mission PI and instrument had heritage via NASA suborbital programs. Note: the empty entries for instrument heritage reflect the lack of available information, rather than a confirmation of the absence of suborbital heritage.

Launch Year	Mission	PI	Instrument Heritage	PI Heritage	
2030 (exp.)	UVEX ¹⁸	Harrison	Rockets & Balloons	Balloons ¹⁹	
2027 (exp.)	COSI ²⁰	Tomsick	Balloons ¹⁷	Balloons ¹⁷	
2025 (exp.)	SPHEREx ²¹	Bock	Rockets ²²	Rockets ²³ & Balloons ²⁴	
2021	IXPE ²⁵	Weisskopf	Rockets	Rockets ²⁶ & Balloons ²⁷	
2018	TESS ²⁸	Ricker	None ²	Balloons ²⁹	
2012	NuSTAR ³⁰	Harrison	Balloons ³¹	Balloons ¹⁹	
2009	WISE ³²	Wright	-	Rockets & Balloons ³³	
2004	Swift ³⁴	Gehrels	Rockets	Balloons ³⁵	
2003	GALEX ³⁶	Martin	Balloons	Rockets ³⁷ & Balloons ³⁸	

From in-progress review paper (Miles 2025)



Mechanical beam shapers (MBS)

- ♦ Passively sculpt incident light to produce 1D converging beam
- ♦ Allows for large FOV (>10 deg²) and \approx 2-3' LSF
- ♦ tREXS:
 - module
 - ♦ 45 plates/module
 - ♦ 2010-mm focal length



MBS Plates





Slit width from $375 - 500 \mu m$; 241 slits/plate; 45 plates

MBS alignment





Focal-plane camera

- ♦ 11 X-ray CMOS devices
 - ♦ Teledyne/e2v CIS 113
 - ♦ Custom-built readout and on-board signal processing
 - ♦ 1920 x 4608 (97 Mpix total); >250 cm² coverage
 - ♦ Free-standing, 150-nm Al three-piece optical-blocking filter
 - ♦ Cooled to 190K with liquid nitrogen





Blazed gratings – IBE



$$\frac{V_s(\varphi + \theta_s)}{\sin \theta_s} = \frac{V_m(\theta_p)\cos(\alpha + \varphi)}{\cos \varphi \sin(\alpha + \varphi - \theta_p)}$$



- - ♦ V_s and V_m are etch rates of substrate and mask, respectively
 - * θ_p is the angle of maximum etch rate for given material



Blazed gratings – IBE



$$\frac{V_s(\varphi + \theta_s)}{\sin \theta_s} = \frac{V_m(\theta_p)\cos(\alpha + \varphi)}{\cos \varphi \sin(\alpha + \varphi - \theta_p)}$$



- - ♦ V_s and V_m are etch rates of substrate and mask, respectively
 - * θ_p is the angle of maximum etch rate for given material



Blazed gratings – thermally-activated selective topography equilibration (TASTE)



<u>Grating</u>	<u>Avg.</u> <u>Absolute Eff.</u>	<u>Avg. 1eak</u> Order Eff.	<u>Avg.</u> <u>Relative Eff.</u>
IBE	≈36%	≈18%	≈60%
TASTE (small period)	≈38%	≈23%	≈65%
KOH (Arcus)	≈60%	≈42%	≈85%

 Uses greyscale EBL to produce 3D structures in resist, then thermal reflow to produce shaped facet

Challenges with TASTE:

- Beam step size and spot size become a significant fraction of the feature
- Exposure time increases with complexity of pattern
- ♦ Electron scattering is more of a concern
- ♦ Features are more sensitive to resist contrast
- ♦ Resist process blur has a bigger effect

Why develop grating technologies?

Gap Name	-	Tier	-	Performance Goals and Objectives
High-Efficiency, Low-Scatter, High- and Low-Ruling-Density, High- and Low- Blazed-Angle UV Gratings (from HWO START/TAG)		1		The technical goal should include the development and in-vacuum demonstration of several classes of diffraction gratings: High Resolution: Echelle gratings capable of achieving $R \ge 50,000$ with $\ge 80\%$ peak-order groove efficiency and $VI0 < 1e-3$ at $\Delta \lambda = 1$ nm post-coating, with supporting simulations predicting the observed performance. This performance should extend through the far ultraviolet (FUV; 100 – 180 nm) bandpass. Medium/Low Resolution: Aberration correcting (curved grooves) solutions on curved substrates demonstrating groove efficiencies $\ge 60\%$ post-coating and $VI0 < 1e-5$ at $\Delta \lambda = 1$ nm Ultra-low blaze angles: ($\le 2^\circ$) gratings demonstrating $\ge 60\%$ groove efficiency and $VI0 < 1e-5$ at $\Delta \lambda = 5$ nm post-coating UV Coatings on gratings: Demonstrated compatibility with state-of-the-art FUV coating techniques with < 1% loss in relative diffraction efficiency. Grating Characterization: Specialized vacuum characterization facilities for scatter, resolution, ghosting and efficiency measurements.
High-Efficiency X-ray Grating Arrays for High-Resolution Spectroscopy	r	1		Demonstrate CAT gratings and OPGs with high efficiency (>45%) and resolving power (> 7500) with size > 50 x 50 mm ² . CAT grating open area (illuminated by x rays and not blocked by support structures) > 70%. This requires a scalable (many hundreds of gratings), large-area fabrication process for ~ 6 Im deep, ~ 40 nm wide grating bars and narrow support structures. OPGs require fabrication processes for modestly blazed grooves (~30-50°) in radial groove patterns that can be replicated onto thin substrates. Alignment into large arrays needs to be demonstrated.