



Future Innovations in Gamma Rays

A New Science Analysis Group

Tiffany R. Lewis

Michigan Technological University

Collaborators: Chris Fryer, Michelle Hui,
Paolo Coppi, Milena Crnogorčević,
Marcos Santander, Zorawar Wadiasingh

APS April 2024
Physics of the Cosmos Mini Symposium
Sacramento, CA
tiffanylewisphd@gmail.com



FIGSAG Background and Motivation

- Science Analysis Group (SAG) Reports help to inform NASA about specific topics and the community's priorities in between and leading into Decadal Reports.
- FIGSAG formed to formally study the science drivers, necessary capabilities, and prioritize the future study of gamma-ray astronomy
- We hope to organize and describe the strategic science cases, technology gaps, and threshold mission capabilities for a new generation of gamma-ray missions
- We intend to prioritize gamma-ray science cases along the lines of baselines of observables



Scope of Work Highlights

- **Gamma-Ray Science Priorities**
 - How can future gamma-ray observations advance our current understanding?
 - What are the observational capabilities and requirements for these future studies?
- **Theory / Modeling / Analysis / Fundamental Physics Needs**
- **Technology Investment**
- **Gamma-Ray Mission Capabilities**
- **Synergies with Other Programs**



Defining the Gamma-Ray Identity

- It is strategically important to define gamma-ray science in terms of gamma-ray science
 - There has been a focus in recent years on defining gamma-ray science in terms of multimessenger science
 - Prior, there was a focus on defining gamma-ray science in terms of multiwavelength studies.
 - However, we risk the message other folks hear to be - ‘our only importance is in how well we support other subfields’
- However, we should not expect that any mission will be funded primarily due to its ability to support other facilities.
 - Strategically, gamma-ray missions should first support gamma-ray science and we need to develop the arguments and messaging for this.



Monthly Virtual Meetings

•Prior to meetings

- we email the listserv with the topic information
- Post topical surveys on Slack & Start the Channel discussion
- Prepare discussion topics, open questions, breakout areas

•During meetings

- We usually spend 90 min total
- Opening plenary provides the topic of the day and some context
- Breakout rooms allow for more in-depth discussions
- Closing plenary brings together highlights
- Plenaries are recorded and Breakout rooms have notes taken



Overview of Science Priorities Discussion

- **Nature of Dark Matter/Dark Energy**
 - pin down the 511 keV; reaching the thermal relic line; Galactic Center Excess measurements limited by Fermi's angular resolution; Improvements in PSF, energy resolutions; feasibility of GeV polarization to help identify ALPs
- **Formation and Merging of Supermassive Black Holes**
- **Origins of Heavy Elements in our Galaxy**
 - nuclear line datasets; angular resolution.
- **Sources of Cosmic Ray Accelerations**
 - MeV gap; proton transport; energy and imaging resolution, polarization, and high-precision timing
- **Existence of Life in Our Galaxy**
 - techno signatures, biological signatures; nuclear weapon activity



Overview of Theory & Simulations Discussion

- **Plasma Physics Theory & Modeling (Standard Model Physics)**
 - PIC codes, MHD codes, hybrid models. Current models insufficient to explain time-dependent data. Insufficient timing data on AGN/magnetars
- **Nuclear Modeling & Simulations (Cross sections & Lines)**
 - combined atomic and nuclear model; Gaps in nuclear physics; 511 annihilation line; Different nuclear networks get different yields; map from HPC to a phenomenological model; AI for gamma-spectra
- **Data Analysis & Simulation Methods**
 - Challenges in Multimission analysis; GRBs - connecting observational signatures with physical models.
- **Particle Physics Modeling & Simulations (Beyond Standard Model)**
 - GC excess is tested against rudimentary models of DM; better astrophysical understanding; background worries



June Workshop

- **June 24-28 at Michigan Tech**

- Preregistration is open now - visit our website to fill out the form <https://pcos.gsfc.nasa.gov/sags/figsag/events/workshops/June-2024/June-2024.php>
- Abstracts due by Apr 30; Travel intention appreciated by Apr 15

- **Plenary sessions Mon & Fri**

- Overall strategy, messaging, complementarity with current missions and future facilities in other bands/messengers

- **Parallel sessions Tues, Wed, Thur**

- Talks & discussion to help develop the arguments for science priorities and baseline cases for categories of observable



Science Traceability Matrix

1 Science Goals	2 Science Objectives	3 Scientific Measurement Requirements		5 Instrument Performance Requirements	6 XX km	7 Projected Instrument Performance ZZ km	8 Mission Requirements (Top Level)
		Observables	Physical parameters				
Goal 1	Objective 1	Absorption line	Column density of absorber	Alt. Range	XX km	ZZ km	Observing strategies: requires yaw and elevation maneuvers
Goal 2		Emission line	Density and temperature of emitter				Vert. Resol.
Etc.			Size of features				



Preliminary Strategic Framework (STM)

- **Timing & effective area**

- GRBs ~ 100 ms; Magnetars / Pulsars, time a millisecond pulsar \sim ms; flux, photon arrival time; localization

- **Spectral resolution**

- gamma ray lines; DM / ALPs, CR, LIV; lensing of GRBs against compact objects; Need to develop threshold cases; Doppler shifts of lines can break degeneracies in distances in other galaxies

- **Polarization**

- MDP per flux, energy range; AGN, Magnetars / Pulsars, GRBs; Sensitivity to get better time resolution on polarization measurements for variable sources

- **Atomic / Nuclear / particle physics decay**

- Counterpart to nonthermal particle acceleration, decay; Bottlenecks of not quite knowing which process causes observed emission



Report Organization

- Overview

- Status Quo, context of current gamma-ray missions & facilities

- Primary Baseline Science Cases

- Details about the science and required sensitivity, etc

- Secondary and tertiary Baseline Science Cases

- Details about science cases that require slightly less sensitivity, etc
- What science cases can be accomplished per observable requirement?

- Complementarity

- Gamma rays first messaging, but also broader context of multiwavelength and mutlimessenger



Synergies as Secondary

- While synergies are secondary in terms of messaging, they are a full section of the report on their own. How can future gamma-ray missions
 - complement the fleet of NASA missions
 - multi-messenger astronomy
 - ground-based facilities
- Are there key facilities that set necessary timelines for future gamma-ray missions?
- What synergies exist with other agencies?
 - efforts in detector technology, electronics research and development, data analysis techniques, laboratory astrophysics, modeling methods, software, data archiving?



Messaging

- **Developing cohesive messaging around gamma-ray science**
 - We want members of the gamma-ray community to be able to articulate a similar message about what we want as a field
 - So, we then have to identify what that message could be - to funding agencies, to the public, to Congress, to other subfields
- **Developing Materials around messaging**
 - Working with the artists who made the exoplanet vacation poster series
 - Struggling with the message behind the medium
 - Maybe baseline science cases (as vacation destinations?)



How to Join & Contribute

•Website

- <https://pcos.gsfc.nasa.gov/sags/figsag.php>
- Join our mailing list (have a sticker)
- Join our slack space

•Virtual Meetings

- Join the Discussion

•Michigan Tech Workshop

- Preregistration is open now
- Abstracts for parallel (and plenary) talks
- Conference Proceedings - details TBD

FIG SAG

Future Innovations in Gamma Rays

We will explore gamma-ray science priorities, necessary capabilities, new technologies, and theory needs to inspire work toward 2040.

Get involved and stay informed:
<https://forms.gle/VBijBgapMRwJm9dU6>



Chairs:

Chris Fryer & Michelle Hui,
Paolo Coppi, Milena
Crnogorčević, Tiffany Lewis,
Marcos Santander, and
Zorawar Wadiasingh



Questions?