

# **The Inflation Probe Science Analysis Group**

# Technology Plan for the 2010-20 Decade

## Jamie Bock (JPL/Caltech) Kent Irwin (NIST)

With contributions from

Todd Gaier (JPL) Shaul Hanany (U. Minnesota) Adrian Lee (UC Berkeley) Steve Meyer (U. Chicago) Harvey Moseley (GSFC)

PhysPAG Meeting, AAS @ Austin, TX 8 January 2012

### **Testing Inflation with CMB Polarization**



- 6. Departure from scale invariance?
- Non-Gaussianity? 7.
- Inflationary gravitational waves? 8.
- Planck Planck **Inflation Probe** Comprehensively measure inflationary CMB polarization

signal corresponding to inflation at GUT energy scales

# CMB Polarization Science is Deep and Broad





CMB community plan presented to the Decadal  $\rightarrow$  Reflected in Astro2010 report

4 IPSAG

# Current CMB Research: The ESA / NASA Planck Satellite

### Instrument Status

- All systems working nominally
- HFI sensitivity exceeds pre-launch goals
- Thermal system performance as expected
- End of 100 mK cooling coming ~next week
- LFI operations will continue

### **Planck Strengths**

- Comprehensive temperature measurements
- 9 bands for foregrounds separation
- Good polarization sensitivity
  - High-fidelity E-mode polarization measurementsBut not enough sensitivity for B-modes





### lission Events

- March 2010

## Launch

### **Planned Data Releases**

- January 2011
- January 2013
- February 2014

**ERCSC & first astro results** Cosmology first 15 months Cosmology first 30 months

# **Current CMB Research: Sub-Orbital and Ground-Based**

	Experiment	Technology	Resolution (arcmin)	Frequency (GHz)	Detector Pairs	Modulator
	COFE	HEMT/MMIC	83/55/42	10/15/20	3/6/10	wire grid
US-led	EBEX	TES	8	150/250/410	398/199/141	HWP
Balloon	PIPER	TES	21/15/12/7	200/270/350/600	2560	VPM
	SPIDER	TES	60/40/30	90/150/280	288/512/512	HWP
	ABS	TES	30	150	200	HWP
	ACTpol	TES	2.2/1.4	90/145	1500	-
US-led Ground	BICEP2	TES	40	150	256	-
	C-BASS	HEMT	44	5	1	φ-switch
	CLASS	TES	80/34/22	40/90/150	36/300/60	VPM
	Keck	TES	60/40/30	96/150/220	288/512/512	HWP
	POLAR	TES	5.2	150	2000	-
	POLARBeaR	TES	7/3.5/2.4	90/150/220	637	HWP
	QUIET	HEMT/MMIC	42/18	44/90	19/100	φ-switch
	SPTpol	TES	1.5/1.2	90/150	768	-
T	AMiBA	HEMT	2	94	20	Int.
Int I Ground	QUBIC	TES	60	90/150	256/512	Int.
	QUIJOTE	HEMT	54-24	10-30	38	-

- Push to higher sensitivity than Planck: new detector array technologies
- Focused on B-mode science: target small, deep fields
- Explore the diversity of technology approaches
- Test new methodologies for systematic error control
- Expect rapid progress in Inflationary B-mode limits in next few years



### Historical Interplay: Suborbital Experiments serve to

- Shape scientific objective of a space mission
- Train leaders of future orbital missions

- Develop experimental methodologies
- Develop technologies at systems level



# **CMB Polarization Satellite Mission Concepts**

### Experimental Probe of Inflationary Cosmology CMB community mission developed for Decadal

- 1.4 m Crossed Dragone Telescope
  - Resolution to measure lensing signal cosmic limits

### Large Focal Plane

- equates to 1000 Planck missions!
- Wide band coverage for foregrounds

### **Cooling system**

- 100 mK
- Improved Planck system

### L2 Halo Orbit

- Scan strategy for large-scale polarization
- Simple operations, conventional spacecraft



**CORE** ESA 2010 proposal 1.2 m aperture

### **Alternative Concepts**



LITEBIRD Japanese concept 30 cm aperture



X

EPIC-Low Cost JPL concept 30 cm apertures

**PIXIE** SMEX proposal Multi-mode FTS



The EPIC Intermediate Mission Bock et al. arXiv 0906.1188



Participation:Open to all members of the astrophysics community<br/>Currently 50 registered plus 10 unregistered participants<br/>Includes Astro2010 Inflation Probe study team members<br/>Reports to the PhysPAG via Shaul Hanany<br/>Active participation from NASA' s PCOS office

Role:Open community input to Inflation Probe planning

- science goals following developments in the field
- mission planning for foreground removal, systematic errors
- technology development and prioritization
- Communication: Open invitation issued March 2011 Email list Website: <u>http://pcos.gsfc.nasa.gov/sags/ipsag.php</u> Telecons to date: April and November 2011

Planning Docs: <u>CMB Technology Roadmap for the NASA Inflation Probe</u>, Sept. 2011



# **The Inflation Probe Technology Roadmap**

Technology	Priority	Timescale	Candidates	TRL
Detector Arrays	High	Sub-orbital experiments	TES+SQUID+Antenna HEMT / MMIC	4-5
Optics	Medium	Sub-orbital experiments	Polarization modulators AR coatings	2-5
Coolers	Low	Develop for space	Passive+mechanical+sub-K	3-9
Advanced Arrays		Develop for simplified space implementation. Connects to X-ray, far-IR and optical astronomy	MKID+RF resonator TES+RF resonator	3

### The Bottom Line

- Must immediately develop and field these technologies for the mid-decade review
- The highest priority development: detector arrays at medium TRL
- Fully exploit advantages of fielding technologies in active experiments

### **Further Notes**

- Need a mission planning activity to update requirements for mid-decade review
- Continue low-TRL detector array technology development for the future



# **The Inflation Probe Technology Roadmap**

Technology	Priority	Timescale	Candidates	TRL
Detector Arrays	High	Current experiments	TES+SQUID+Antenna HEMT / MMIC	4-5
Optics	Medium	Current experiments	Polarization modulators AR coatings	2-5
Coolers	Low	Develop for space	Passive+mechanical+sub-K	3-9
Advanced Arrays		Develop for simplified space implementation. Connects to X-ray, far-IR and optical astronomy	MKID+RF resonator TES+RF resonator	3

• Time is short to the mid-decadal review, and significant work remains

• Ground-based and suborbital missions are critical to probe for an inflationary B-mode signal, and to mature technology options.

However, significant advances specific to a satellite are needed: sensitivity, wavelength coverage, statistics and systematics, and cosmic-ray rejection.
An additional concerted effort is required now to be prepared for the detection of hints of B-modes, and the likely high prioritization of a satellite that would follow.



### **Detector array overview**

Example: EPIC-IM



- Much larger AΩ and sensitivity are required than any planned sub-orbital experiment.
  - Lower detector NEPs
  - Better systematic control
  - More detectors
- Much broader wavelength coverage than any planned sub-suborbital experiment
- Detectors designed to be robust against particle hits
- The highest priority is the development, testing, and characterization of detector arrays. Development is needed in
  - 1. Sensor arrays
  - 2. Optical coupling elements
  - 3. Multiplexed readout circuits



## **Sensor Arrays**

### **Optical Coupling**



### Feed Coupled



Planar Antennas



Lens-Coupled Antennas

13

# SPTpol 150 GHz

### BICEP-2 150 GHz



### POLARbear



### To reach the sensitivity required for the Inflation Probe, we need

- Polarized detectors with noise below the CMB photon noise (much lower NEP).
- Large frequency coverage with many bands over 30 GHz-1 THz
- Large numbers of detectors (1->10 kpixel)
- Exquisite control of systematics

• The most mature large polarimeter array sensor, the superconducting transition-edge sensor, is now being fielded in ground-based and suborbital experiments.

• Three optical coupling options are being developed and deployed. New work will be required to project the performance of these options in a satellite environment.

• MMICs are also being developed at a lower level

# **Optical coupling / beam forming**

ACTpol feeds

A SA



**BICEP-2** phased arrays



### Feedhorn arrays

- Long heritage in flight missions
- Excellent beam symmetry & crosspol
- ACTpol, SPTpol, ABS

### Phased antenna arrays

- Compact; very low mass, simple
- BICEP-2, Keck, SPIDER, POLAR

#### Lenselet arrays

- Large bandwidth
- POLARbear



14 Three options are being pursued to meet Inflation Probe requirements

-2 0 2 Δ Az (arcmin)

4



# **Multiplexed readout**



Time division (TDM): different pixels at different times



TDM SQUID switches



Frequency division (FDM): different pixels at different frequencies



Room-temperature electronics for FDM

Need to develop robust, radiation hard cryogenic components and low-power, flight-qualified readout electronics





### Synergy with x-ray and submillimeter



TES thermometer and readout are similar to x-ray sensors needed for to successors to IXO, including Athena.



James Clerk Maxwell Telescope



The DR21 star forming region. The left hand panel shows the SCUBA-2 850  $\mu$ m image while the right-hand panel is a close up region where the 850  $\mu$ m data has been overlayed on a UKDISS infrared image (Image credit:JAC)



TES thermometer and readout also leverage the development of submillimeter cameras, such as SCUBA-2 at the JCMT

NGC7331 at 5 wavelengths. The central ring-link structure is clearly visible in the submillimeter dust emission. (Image credit: JAC, Herschel KINGFISH consortium)





- Relevant optical system designs for the Inflation Probe must be developed and analyzed
- Crossed-Dragone optics provide larger FOV with more detectors; demonstrated in QUIET, being deployed in ABS and POLAR. Basis of EPIC-IM mission concept.
- Other concepts include smaller apertures for less costly missions; B-POL with large, rotating half-wave-plate for polarization modulation, PIXIE with FTS, etc. Some require mm-wave lenses with AR coating.





- Relevant optical system designs for the Inflation Probe must be developed and analyzed
- Crossed-Dragone optics provide larger FOV with more detectors; demonstrated in QUIET, being deployed in ABS and POLAR. Basis of EPIC-IM mission concept.
- Other concepts include smaller apertures for less costly missions; B-POL with large, rotating half-wave-plate for polarization modulation, PIXIE with FTS, etc. Some require mm-wave lenses with AR coating.







# **Cryogenic system**

- The Inflation Probe requires passive radiators, mechanical cryocoolers, and sub-Kelvin coolers
- Technology options include He-3 sorption refrigerators, adiabatic demagnetization refrigerators, and dilution refrigerators. TRL for all options varies from TRL 3-9.
- Planck, Herschel, and SUZAKU/ASTRO H, provide flight heritage for some of these systems
- Space cooling systems can be leveraged on current technology efforts, but must be very stable

100 mK dilution refrigerator for Planck Working at earth-sun L2





 One possible advance for the Inflation Probe is the development of multichroic arrays with uncompromised polarization performance. This might be accomplished with feedhorns, lenselets, and phased arrays

Broadband logspiral antenna for POLARbear











### Advanced array technology: microresonators

- Another advanced array technology is the superconducting microwave resonator. Detectors read out with high-Q resonators can have much higher multiplex factors, enabling larger arrays
- Advanced, flight-qualified, lowpower room-temperature electronics must be developed





• In the microwave kinetic inductance detector (MKID), the resonator itself is the sensor. This is a great simplification, but appropriate sensitivity needs to be demonstrated in the CMB.



# **The Inflation Probe Technology Roadmap**

Technology	Priority	Timescale	Candidates	TRL
Detector Arrays	High	Current experiments	TES+SQUID+Antenna HEMT / MMIC	4-5
Optics	Medium	Current experiments	Polarization modulators AR coatings	2-5
Coolers	Low	Develop for space	Passive+mechanical+sub-K	3-9
Advanced Arrays		Develop for simplified space implementation. Connects to X-ray, far-IR and optical astronomy	MKID+RF resonator TES+RF resonator	3

An additional concerted effort is required now to be prepared for the detection of hints of B-modes, and the likely high prioritization of a satellite that would follow.

# NASA

**IPSAG** 

24

# **Community Funding Profile Requested from Decadal**

# CMB Suborbital Spending 2010-2020



Plan endorsed by 142
members of the CMB community

• Theory, Balloon and Ground-Based experiment funding come from existing, competition-based programs

• Technology Development and Mission Planning come from new NASA program

• Technology Development comprises \$1M/yr in University Research plus \$5.5 M/yr in development at Detector Centers

• **Mission Planning** wedge is crucial for mid-decade review, but not in current NASA plan

Taken from "A program of Technology Development and Sub-Orbital Observations of the CMB Polarization Leading to and Including a Satellite Mission"

### **Current Profile of Inflation Probe Funding**

**Decadal Recommendation: \$60M - \$200M** 



ASA



The PhysPAG recommends that dedicated technology development for the Inflation Probe should commence as soon as possible in order to properly prepare the Inflation Probe for the planned mid-decade review, at a total funding level for the decade that is consistent with the Astro2010 Decadal Review.

The IPSAG will continue to provide technical recommendations to the NASA PCOS office through the PhysPAG.



# Backups



# **Transition from Sub-Orbital Experiments to Space**

Task Force for CMB Research Weiss Report 2005: Projected Timeline



Where we are today

### **Current Sub-Orbital and Ground-Based Experiments**

US Balloons		US	Ground-	based		European
EBEX	ABS		ACT		BICEP2	<b>Ground-based</b>
SPIDER	Keck Array		MBI		Poincare	BRAIN
PIPER	PolarBeaR		QUIET		SPT	QUIJOTE

Vigorous 'Market-Driven' Scientific Niches

- Wide variety of technologies
- Wide range of frequencies, resolution, and sky coverage
- Diverse approaches to systematic error control



### **Recent Measurements of CMB Polarization**



IPSAG 29

**Multipole ℓ** Chiang et al. 2009, arXiv 0906.1181 & 1003



### **Technology Needed for Space: An Evolution from Planck**



### CMB Community Workshop:

Technology Development for a CMB Probe of Inflation, Boulder CO, 25-28 August 2008



# **All Sky Maps of Projected Gravitational Potential**



**8**°

Theoretical projected potential

Optimal Quadratic (Hu 2001) Likelihood (Hirata & Seljak 2003)

Gravitational potential determined from CMB polarization and temperature maps Potential sensitive to

- neutrino masses
- late dark energy

All-sky potential map: 600 of these maps on the whole sky!

- a legacy for every future study of structure formation



Map of full sky with  $\sigma_{\rm P}$  < 0.3 %



Mission	Band GHz	FWHM arcmin	ਰ(Q) kJy/sr/beam	Pol. depth A <sub>v</sub>
Planck	350	5	24	4
	500	2	0.9	0.06
EPIC	850	1	0.7	0.01



How does large-scale Galactic field related to field in embedded star-forming regions?

32 IPSAG