

Multi - Messenger Coordination on the Supermassive Scale

Caitlin Witt Postdoc @ West Virginia University

NASA TDAMM Workshop



Lower frequencies, Higher masses

Figure Credit: NANOGrav PFC

GWs from SMBHBs

Continuous waves (CWs) from single SMBHBS

 Deterministic, modelable signal affects the earth and the pulsar while evolving Orbital periods of months to decades









GWs from SMBHBs

The stochastic gravitational wave background (GWB)

Induces spatially correlated red noise with a common spectrum (A_{CRN} , γ_{CRN}) in all pulsars

Figure Credit: Jeff Hazboun



12.5 year GWB Searches

 NANOGrav's 12.5-year data set shows strong evidence of an uncorrelated common-spectrum red noise process





Supported by international collaborators!

So what's next?

In Progress: New Data Sets!





4999

PULSA,

JNHI NI

DR2 15-year DR3 DR1

 \rightarrow DR3











Next Up: Continuous Waves

CWs could be detectable soon after the GWB

All-sky upper limits are continuing to decrease (but need to account for presence of red noise)



Next Up: Continuous Waves

 SMBHB candidate or galaxy cluster

 D_{95} is the lower distance limit to a 10° M_{\odot} binary at $f_{GW} = 7.65$ nHz

Can place limits on individual SMBHB candidates



One Step Further: Multi-Messenger Searches

Even without a detection, we can learn a lot about SMBHB candidates Much less expensive than all-sky all-frequency CW searches Can set better limits and have better detection prospects than allsky searches (Arzoumanian+2020, Liu+2021)



Figure Credit: Arzoumanian+ (2020)

Figure Credit: Luke Kelley and Maria Charisi



We Need:

Efficient tools to identify SMBHB candidates in big data sets Next generation telescopes and surveys will be more effective!

14



We Need:

Efficient tools to identify SMBHB candidates in big data sets
 Ongoing monitoring of existing (and future) candidates
 Long-period binaries need long data sets to confirm



We Need:

 Efficient tools to identify SMBHB candidates in big data sets Ongoing monitoring of existing (and future) candidates
 Coordinated efforts to share SMBHB candidates
 BOBcat: Black holes Orbiting Black holes catalog (Sydnor & Burke-Spolaor - see their poster!)

Display By source r Show 50 • •	nodel	Parameter Errors: show hide	Search: Select all Deselect all	Column visibility Export Previous 1	selected: CSV Export sele	cted: JSON 1658 Next
Source	Rating	Models 🗘	Host RA 💲	Host Dec 🗳	z 🍾	Evidence 🗘
3C66B	*	3 models	02:23:11.41124	+42:59:31.84	0.02106	<u>0</u> 2
OJ287	۲	7 models	08:54:48.9	+20:06:31	0.305	<mark>\$`W</mark>
0402+379	۲	1 model	10:44:50.030	+21:31:18.15	0.701	<mark>0</mark> ••
SDSS J032223.02+	۲	1 model	22:18:17.60	+40:34:09.6	2.03	<mark>М <mark>У</mark> П</mark>
PG1302-102	۲	1 model	09:20:34.38	+50:41:47.7	0.054	шW
NGC 4151	*	1 model	03:33:35.99	-36:07:37.7	0.1254	Ш
NGC 5548	۲	2 models	22:23:08.3	-28:56:52	0.0321	<mark>\$`W</mark>
SDSS J095656.42+	۲	4 models	01:24:46.19	+09:30:31.3	0.04867	W

Remember:





The multimessenger future of SMBHBs is incredibly bright! GWs from SMBHBs are nearly within reach, and multimessenger techniques can help Infrastructure is needed to coordinate abundant future data

How can GW and EM astronomers better collaborate to reach a multimessenger detection of an SMBHB?

Contact me: caitlin.witt@nanograv.org