**PCOS Program Strategic Technology Capability Gap Input Form**

**Instructions**

Use this form to submit to the Astrophysics Division Physics of the Cosmos (PCOS) Program Office information on technology capability gaps for future NASA PCOS missions. Since all gaps considered last year will be reevaluated along with new submissions, submit one of those only if you’re suggesting a modification. For the latest Program Annual Technology Report (PATR) go to <http://pcos.gsfc.nasa.gov/technology/>.

Understanding and addressing the gaps between current technology capabilities and those needed for future applications and missions is critical to mission success. This form is intended to describe a technology capability gap that needs to be filled to enable or enhance a future PCOS mission mentioned in the 2010 Astrophysics Decadal Survey ([New Worlds, New Horizons](http://pcos.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/), NWNH), [Astrophysics Implementation Plan](http://science.nasa.gov/astrophysics/documents) (AIP), or Astrophysics Roadmap ([Enduring Quests, Daring Visions](http://science.nasa.gov/astrophysics/documents), EQDV), not a specific candidate technology to fill such a gap, nor a gap not relevant to any mission mentioned in those documents.

The Program Office will use the information provided in this form to assess how this technology gap affects potential future missions, and to gauge the impact a successful technical solution would provide. That information will guide our technology prioritization process that feeds into the PATR, informing future Strategic Astrophysics Technology (SAT) calls and selections, as well as Program technology planning.

Please send your completed form to thai.pham@nasa.gov. Ithai.pham@nasa.govnputs received by June 1 will be incorporated into that year’s prioritization process. Inputs received after that cutoff date will be included in the following year’s process. Early submittals are appreciated.

**PATR Prioritization Information**

The information provided will be used as input to the PCOS Program’s annual technology gaps prioritization process as described in the PATR and may be included, in part or in whole, in the PATR and publicly released**:**

**Brief Description of the Technology Capability Needed:** Describe the technology capability gap and associated key performance parameters in 100 – 150 words. Please describe a technology capability gap, not a specific implementation approach to fill such a gap.

**Assessment of the Current State-of-the-Art:** In 100 – 150 words, describe the current state-of-the-art of relevant technologies that may fill this capability gap partially or imperfectly. Describe their performance capabilities and functional shortcomings relative to applicable PCOS missions and application needs.

**Current TRL:** Enter the estimated current Technology Readiness Level (TRL) of these relevant technologies. Base your TRL estimates on the definitions given by NASA Procedural Requirement (NPR) [7123.1B, Appendix E](http://nodis3.gsfc.nasa.gov/displayDir.cfm?Internal_ID=N_PR_7123_001B_&page_name=AppendixE). Note that the SAT program funds technology maturation in the mid-TRL range (3 – 5), so gaps where the current state-of-the-art fulfils the needs and is at a TRL of 6 or higher will not be considered.

**Technical Goals and Objectives to Fill the Capability Gap:** Describe the quantitative, measurable, technical goals and objectives (key performance parameters) for a candidate technology to fill the described capability gap. For example, “The goal is to produce a detector with a sensitivity of *X* over a wavelength range of *Y* to *Z* nm as required for optimal science return for mission *ABC*.” Capability gaps with clearly quantified objectives are more easily assessed during the prioritization process.

**Scientific, Engineering, and/or Programmatic Benefits:** In 100 – 150 words, describe the scientific, engineering, and/or programmatic benefits of filling this technology gap, and state the expected impact, from mission-enabling to mission-enhancing. Benefits could be scientific (e.g., better science output), engineering (e.g., lower mass), and/or programmatic (e.g., reduced cost, schedule, and/or risk). For example, “The desired material will be 50% stronger than the current state-of-the-art, reducing a 4 m telescope optical subsystem by *X* kg.”

**Applications and Potential Relevant Missions for COR, PCOS, and ExEP:** Identify potential missions or applications that would benefit from technologies that can fill this capability gap, concentrating on PCOS, but adding Cosmic Origins (COR) and/or Exo-planet Exploration Program (ExEP) missions if the gap is cross-cutting. Submissions enabling or enhancing more than one PCOS science mission identified in NWNH, AIP, and/or EQDV will receive higher priority scores.

**Time to Anticipated Need:** What are the anticipated or estimated launch dates of missions expected to be enabled or enhanced by solutions closing the technology gap? Gaps enabling or enhancing missions with earlier launch dates will receive higher priority scores.
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